Minute Order Form (06/97)

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

CASE NUMBER 99		ge	Denlow	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge			
		R 99 C	6926	DAT	E	8/3/2000	
	CASE TITLE		Euromarket Designs, Inc. vs. Crate & Barrel, et al.				
MO	TION:	[In the following box (nature of the motion be	a) indicate the party fi ing presented.]	ling the motion, e.g., plainti	ff, defendant, 3rd party p	plaintiff, and (b) state briefly	
DOC	CKET ENTRY:						
(1)	□ File	Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]					
(2)		Brief in support of motion due					
(3)		Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due					
4)		Ruling/Hearing on set for at					
5)		Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
6)		Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
7)		Trial[set for/re-set for] on at					
8)		[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at					
9)	□ This	This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] \$\sumset\$ \sumset \text{FRCP4(m)} \sumset \text{General Rule 21} \sumset \text{FRCP41(a)(1)} \sumset \text{FRCP41(a)(2)}.					
10)	counsel. Fo	resumony of Har reasons stated in o rel Limited for a to	vey J. Silversto pen court, Judg tal amount of \$	of damages held. I one and James Berg ment is entered in fa 3312,396.96. Enter	er. Closing argu	uments by Plaintiff	
- 1-		further detail see order	attached to the ori	ginal minute order.]			
	No notices required, advised in open court. No notices required.					Document Number	
. ·	Notices mailed by judge's staff,				number of notices		
	Notified counsel by telephone.				AUG D & 20	3U /	
—	Docketing to mail notices.						
-	Mail AO 450 form. Copy to judge/magistrate judge.		FILED FO	ED-7 R DOCKETING	docketing deputy initials	1 イラ	
DK courtroom deputy's initials		courtroom	00 AUG -	3 PH 5: 07	/8/3/2000 date mailed notice	7	
	1	initials		e received in	DK	ľ	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Euromarket Designs, Inc. d/b/a Crate & Barrel))
Plaintiff,))
vs.) Civil Action No. 99 C 6926
Crate & Barrel Limited, Miriam Peters and Point Blank Multimedia,	Hon. Judge Morton Denlow
, in the second	
Defendants.	

FINAL JUDGMENT ORDER

Plaintiff, EUROMARKET DESIGNS, INC. d/b/a CRATE & BARREL ("Plaintiff"), has moved this Court, with notice to Defendant, CRATE & BARREL LIMITED ("Defendant"), for a Default Order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55. On June 21, 2000, this Court entered an Injunction Order against Defendant, in accordance with Rule 55, F.R.C.P. A hearing date of August 3, 2000 at 2:00 p.m. was set for a prove-up for monetary damages and subsequent entry of a final order disposing of the entire case. Upon consideration of Plaintiff's testimony, previous submissions and relevant authorities, this Court finds that there is good cause to issue a further injunction and judgment as follows.

35

10

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. Defendant's use of the mark and name Crate and Barrel in the purchase of goods from vendors has caused confusion and is likely to continue to cause confusion if continued. Defendant and all others in active concert or participation with Defendant are hereby permanently enjoined from using the Crate & Barrel and Crate and Barrel marks, any colorful imitations of the Crate & Barrel name and mark and/or the Crate and Barrel name and mark, and any other name or mark which cause or is likely to cause confusion thereof, in connection with the purchase of goods or services from vendors.

- 2. Defendant and all others in active concert or participation with Defendant are hereby permanently enjoined from using the Crate & Barrel and Crate and Barrel marks, any colorful imitations of the Crate & Barrel name and mark and/or the Crate and Barrel name and mark, and any other name or mark which cause or is likely to cause confusion thereof in the United States.
- 3. As a result of Defendant's acts complained of in this action, Plaintiff has been damaged in the amount of \$ 225, 000,00. Judgment for such amount is entered against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff.
- 4. Plaintiff's attorneys' fees in connection with this lawsuit have totaled \$87,396.96. Plaintiff is entitled to recover its attorneys' fees from Defendant and judgment is entered in the amount of \$87,396.96 against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff.

2 .

5. The total monetary judgment hereby entered against Defendant and in favor of Plaintiff is \$ 312, 396.96

DATE: August 3, 2000

Morton Denlow

United States Magistrate Judge,

Northern District of Illinois